

**NATIONAL SURVEY OF SUBSTANCE ABUSE  
TREATMENT SERVICES (N-SSATS), 2015**

**CODEBOOK**

Center for Behavioral Health Statistics and Quality  
Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration

## **Acknowledgements**

This data file was prepared for the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA), U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS).

## **Terms of Use**

These data are distributed under the following terms of use; by continuing past this page, you signify agreement to comply with the requirements below:

## **Privacy of Study Respondents**

Any intentional identification of an individual or organization, or unauthorized disclosure of identifiable information, violates the promise of confidentiality given to the providers of the information. Disclosure of identifiable information may also be punishable under federal law. Therefore, users of data agree to:

Use these datasets solely for research or statistical purposes, and not for investigation or re-identification of specific individuals or organizations.

Make no use of the identity of any individual discovered inadvertently, and report any such discovery to SAMHSA ([BHSIS\\_HelpDesk@eagletechva.com](mailto:BHSIS_HelpDesk@eagletechva.com)).

## **Public Domain Notice**

All material appearing in this document is in the public domain and may be reproduced or copied without permission from SAMHSA. Citation of the source is appreciated. This publication may not be reproduced or distributed for a fee without specific, written authorization of the Office of Communications, SAMHSA, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.

## **Recommended Citation**

Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, *National Survey of Substance Abuse Treatment Services (N-SSATS): 2015. Data on Substance Abuse Treatment Facilities*. BHSIS Series S-88, HHS Publication No. (SMA) 17-5031. Rockville, MD: Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, 2017.

Authors that use these data are strongly encouraged to send citations of their publications to SAMHSA for inclusion in a database of related work ([BHSIS\\_HelpDesk@eagletechva.com](mailto:BHSIS_HelpDesk@eagletechva.com)).

## **Disclaimers**

Data users acknowledge that SAMHSA and Eagle Technologies, Inc., bear no responsibility for use of the data or for interpretations or inferences based upon such uses.

SAMHSA complies with applicable federal civil rights laws and does not discriminate on the basis of race, color, national origin, age, disability, or sex. *SAMHSA cumple con las leyes federales de derechos civiles aplicables y no discrimina por motivos de raza, color, nacionalidad, edad, discapacidad o sexo.*

## **Violations**

If SAMHSA determines this terms of use agreement has been violated, possible sanctions include:

- report of the violation to the Research Integrity Officer, Institutional Review Board, or Human Subjects Review Committee of the user's institution;
- report of the violation to the Federal Office for Human Research Protections, if the confidentiality of human subjects has been violated;
- report of the violation of federal law to the United States Attorney General for possible prosecution;
- court-awarded payments of damages to any individual(s) or organization(s) harmed by the breach of confidential data.

# DESCRIPTION OF THE NATIONAL SURVEY OF SUBSTANCE ABUSE TREATMENT SERVICES (N-SSATS)

The 2015 National Survey of Substance Abuse Treatment Services (N-SSATS) was conducted between March and November 2015, with a reference date of March 31, 2015. It is the 38th in a series of national surveys that began in the 1970s. The surveys were designed to collect data on the location, characteristics, and use of alcohol and drug abuse treatment facilities and services throughout the 50 states, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, U.S. territories, and other jurisdictions.<sup>1</sup> The Center for Behavioral Health Statistics and Quality, Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA), U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, plans and directs N-SSATS.

N-SSATS collects information from all facilities in the United States, both public and private, that provide substance abuse treatment.<sup>2</sup>

N-SSATS quantifies the dynamic character and composition of the U.S. substance abuse treatment delivery system. N-SSATS collects multipurpose data that can be used to:

- Assist SAMHSA and state and local governments in assessing the nature and extent of services provided in state-supported and other substance abuse treatment facilities and in forecasting substance abuse treatment resource requirements;
- Update SAMHSA's Inventory of Behavioral Health Services (I-BHS), formerly called the Inventory of Substance Abuse Treatment Services (I-SATS), which includes all known drug and alcohol abuse treatment and mental health treatment facilities;
- Analyze substance abuse treatment services trends and conduct comparative analyses for the nation, regions, and states;
- Generate the *National Directory of Drug and Alcohol Abuse Treatment Programs*, a compendium of facilities approved by state substance abuse agencies for the provision of substance abuse treatment; and
- Update the information in SAMHSA's Behavioral Health Treatment Services Locator, a searchable database of facilities that provide substance abuse treatment. The Treatment Services Locator is available on the Internet at: <https://findtreatment.samhsa.gov>

---

<sup>1</sup> U.S. territories included in the N-SSATS consist of the territory of Guam, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, and the Virgin Islands of the United States. Other jurisdictions included in the N-SSATS are the Federated States of Micronesia, the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands, and the Republic of Palau.

<sup>2</sup> Entities responding to N-SSATS are referred to as "facilities," which may be a program-level, clinic-level, or multisite respondent.

## Data Collection Procedures for the 2015 N-SSATS

### *Field period and reference date*

The survey reference date for the 2015 N-SSATS was March 31, 2015. The field period was from March 31, 2015, through December 7, 2015.

### *Survey universe*

The 2015 N-SSATS facility universe totaled 17,669 facilities, including all 16,601 active treatment facilities on SAMHSA's I-BHS at a point six weeks before the survey reference date, and 1,068 facilities that were added by state substance abuse agencies or otherwise discovered during the first three months of the survey.

### *Content*

The 2015 N-SSATS questionnaire contained 42 numbered questions. Topics included:

- Facility identification information
- Operating entity
- Primary focus
- Type of care provided
  - Outpatient treatment services (regular outpatient treatment, intensive outpatient treatment, day treatment or partial hospitalization, detoxification, methadone/buprenorphine maintenance or extended release, injectable naltrexone/Vivitrol® treatment)
  - Residential (non-hospital) treatment services (long-term—more than 30 days, short-term—30 days or fewer, detoxification)
  - Hospital inpatient treatment services (inpatient treatment, inpatient detoxification)
- Services offered (assessment and pre-treatment services, counseling, pharmacotherapies, testing, transitional services, ancillary services)
- Clinical/therapeutic approaches
- Special programs or groups provided for specific client types
- Provision of services in sign language and/or in languages other than English
- Detoxification from alcohol, benzodiazepines, opioids, cocaine, methamphetamines, or other drugs, and routine use of medication during detoxification
- Client outreach (outreach to persons in the community who may need treatment, provision of a facility website)
- Licensure, certification, or accreditation by agencies or organizations
- Standard operating procedures
- Payment options accepted, including use of a sliding fee scale and/or treatment at no charge

- Receipt of government funding
- Smoking policy
- Manner in which opioid dependence is treated, the operation of an opioid treatment program (OTP) certified by SAMHSA, and offering maintenance and/or detoxification with opioid drugs such as methadone or buprenorphine
- Number of clients in treatment on March 31, 2015, (total; clients under age 18; clients receiving methadone, buprenorphine, or Vivitrol®)
- Percentage of clients in treatment on March 31, 2015, for abuse of both alcohol and drugs, alcohol abuse only, and drug abuse only
- Percentage of clients in treatment on March 31, 2015, with diagnosed co-occurring mental and substance abuse disorders
- Number of beds designated for residential (non-hospital) and hospital inpatient substance abuse treatment on March 31, 2015

### *Data collection*

Three data collection modes were employed: a secure web-based questionnaire, a paper questionnaire sent by mail, and a telephone interview. Five weeks before the survey reference date of March 31, 2015, letters were mailed to all facilities to announce the survey. The letters also served to update records with new address information received from the U.S. Postal Service. During the last week of March 2015, a data collection packet (including the SAMHSA cover letter, state-specific letter of endorsement, state profile, information on completing the survey on the web, and a sheet of *Frequently Asked Questions*) was mailed to each facility. To ease the burden on respondents, many of the data items that typically do not change from year to year were prefilled in the web-based questionnaire for those facilities that had completed the 2014 survey. Respondents could also request a paper questionnaire be sent to them. During the data collection phase, contract personnel were available to answer facilities' questions concerning the survey. Web-based support for facilities completing the questionnaire on the web was also available. Three weeks after the initial data collection packet mailing, thank you/reminder letters were sent to all facilities. Approximately eight weeks after the initial packet mailing, non-responding facilities were mailed a second packet. There was no questionnaire in the 2015 second packet. About two weeks after the second packet mailing, non-respondents received a reminder telephone call. A third packet containing a hard copy questionnaire was mailed about eight weeks after the second packet mailing. Those facilities that had not responded within three to four weeks of the reminder call were telephoned and asked to complete the survey by computer-assisted telephone interview (CATI).

### **Facility Status and Response Rate**

*Table 1.1* presents a summary of response rate information. There were 17,669 facilities in the survey universe. Of these facilities, 12 percent were found to be ineligible for the survey because they had closed or did not provide substance abuse treatment or detoxification. Of the remaining 15,537 facilities, 14,234 facilities (92 percent) completed the survey and 13,873 (89 percent) were eligible for this report.

**Table 1.1. N-SSATS facilities, by status and mode of response: 2015**

	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>
<b>Total facilities in universe</b>	<b>17,669</b>	<b>100.0</b>
Closed/ineligible	2,132	12.1
Eligible	15,537	87.9
<b>Total eligible</b>	<b>15,537</b>	<b>100.0</b>
Non-respondents	1,303	8.4
Respondents	14,234	91.6
Excluded from report <sup>1</sup>	256	1.6
Roll-ups <sup>2</sup>	105	0.7
Included in report	13,873	89.3
<b>Mode of response</b>	<b>13,873</b>	<b>100.0</b>
Internet	12,553	90.5
Telephone	1,034	7.5
Mail	286	2.1

<sup>1</sup> Facilities excluded from the report included 137 non-treatment halfway houses, 82 solo practices not approved by the state agency for inclusion, and 37 facilities that treated incarcerated clients only.

<sup>2</sup> Facilities whose client counts were included in or “rolled into” other facilities’ counts and whose facility characteristics were not reported separately.

SOURCE: Center for Behavioral Health Statistics and Quality, Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, National Survey of Substance Abuse Treatment Services (N-SSATS), 2015.

### *Quality assurance*

The web questionnaire was programmed to be self-editing; that is, respondents were prompted to complete missing responses and to confirm or correct inconsistent responses.

All mail questionnaires were reviewed manually for consistency and for missing data. Calls were made to facilities to resolve unclear responses and to obtain missing data. After data entry, automated assurance reviews were conducted. The reviews incorporated the rules used in manual editing, plus consistency checks and checks for data outliers not readily identified by manual review.

Item non-response was minimized through careful editing and extensive follow-up. The item response rate for the 2015 N-SSATS averaged 98 percent across 269 separate items.

### **Facility Selection for the 2015 N-SSATS Report**

*Table 1.1.* The N-SSATS questionnaire is deliberately sent to some facilities that are excluded from this report, as explained below. For this cycle, 256 of the 14,234 questionnaire respondents provided information but were deemed out of the scope of this report and excluded from the analyses presented here. The excluded facilities and reasons for exclusion fell into three categories:

- 137 facilities were halfway houses that did not provide substance abuse treatment. These facilities were included in the survey so that they could be listed in the *Directory* and SAMHSA’s Behavioral Health Treatment Services Locator.
- 82 facilities were solo practitioners. I-BHS and N-SSATS are designed to include facilities rather than individuals. Solo practitioners are listed and surveyed only if a state substance abuse

agency explicitly requests that they be included in the survey. The excluded solo practitioners had not been identified for inclusion by a state substance abuse agency.

- 37 facilities were jails, prisons, or other organizations that treated incarcerated clients exclusively.

An additional 105 facilities whose client counts were included in or “rolled into” other facilities’ counts and whose facility characteristics were not reported separately were excluded from facility counts in this report. However, their client counts are included.

After the exclusion of 256 out-of-scope facilities and 105 rolled-up facilities, 13,873 eligible respondent facilities remained to be included in the 2015 N-SSATS report.

#### *Number of respondents reporting facility and client data*


There were 13,873 eligible respondents to the 2015 N-SSATS. The breakdown of facility data and client counts reported by these respondents is summarized below.

- 12,667 facilities reported facility data and client counts for themselves only.
- 374 facilities reported facility characteristics for themselves and provided client counts for their own facility and other facilities in the same group (family) of facilities.
- 832 facilities reported facility characteristics only. Their client counts were reported by another facility.

### **Survey Response Mode**

*Figure 1.* The proportion of facilities using the web survey to respond to N-SSATS has increased steadily since introduction of the option in 2002. The percentage of facilities responding via the web increased from 33 percent in 2005 to 90 percent in 2015. Mail response declined from 45 percent

**Figure 1. Survey Response Mode: 2002-2015**



SOURCE: Center for Behavioral Health Statistics and Quality, Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, National Survey of Substance Abuse Treatment Services (N-SSATS), 2002-2015.

in 2005 to 2 percent in 2015. Telephone response, which represents follow-up of facilities that had not responded by mail or web, also declined, from 22 percent in 2005 to 7 percent in 2015.

### **Data Considerations and Limitations**

As with any data collection effort, certain procedural considerations and data limitations must be taken into account when interpreting data from the 2015 N-SSATS. Considerations and limitations of specific data items are discussed where the data are presented.

N-SSATS attempts to obtain responses from all known treatment facilities, but it is a voluntary survey. There was no adjustment for the 8 percent facility non-response.

N-SSATS is a point-prevalence survey. It provides information on the substance abuse treatment system and its clients on the reference date. Client counts reported here do not represent annual totals. Rather, N-SSATS provides a “snapshot” of substance abuse treatment facilities and clients on an average day.

Multiple responses were allowed for certain variables (e.g., services provided and specialized programs). Tabulations of these variables include the total number of facilities reporting each response.

### **Terminology**

*Facility operation* indicates the type of entity responsible for the operation of the facility:

- Private for-profit
- Private non-profit
- Government
  - Local, county, or community
  - State
  - Federal (Dept. of Veterans Affairs, Dept. of Defense, Indian Health Service, among others)
  - Tribal

*Clients in treatment* were defined as:

- Hospital inpatient and residential (non-hospital) clients receiving substance abuse treatment services at the facility on March 31, 2015; and
- Outpatient clients who were seen at the facility for a substance abuse treatment or detoxification service at least once during the month of March 2015, and who were still enrolled in treatment on March 31, 2015.

*Type of care* indicates the services the facility offers:

- Outpatient
  - Regular

- Intensive
- Outpatient day treatment or partial hospitalization
- Outpatient detoxification
- Outpatient methadone/buprenorphine maintenance or extended-release, injectable, naltrexone/Vivitrol® treatment
- Residential (non-hospital)
  - Short-term (30 days or less)
  - Long-term (more than 30 days)
  - Detoxification
- Hospital inpatient
  - Inpatient detoxification
  - Inpatient treatment

For more information about the 2015 N-SSATS, including data tables, see:  
<http://samhsa.gov/data/substance-abuse-facilities-data-nssats>

# N-SSATS BACKGROUND

## Survey History

N-SSATS has evolved from national survey efforts begun in the 1970s by the National Institute on Drug Abuse (NIDA) to measure the scope and use of drug abuse treatment services in the United States. The sixth of these surveys, conducted in 1976, introduced the data elements and format that have formed the core of subsequent surveys. These include organizational focus, service orientation, services available, clients in treatment by type of care, and hospital inpatient/residential capacity. The 1976 survey, called the National Drug Abuse Treatment Utilization Survey, was repeated in 1977 and 1978.

In 1979, the National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism (NIAAA) became a cosponsor of the survey, alcoholism treatment facilities were added, and the study was renamed the National Drug and Alcoholism Treatment Utilization Survey. This survey was repeated in 1980 and 1982. In 1984, a one-page version called the National Alcoholism and Drug Abuse Program Inventory was used. In 1987, the full version of the survey was reinstated and renamed the National Drug and Alcoholism Treatment Unit Survey (NDATUS). NDATUS was conducted annually from 1989 to 1993.

In 1992, with the creation of SAMHSA, responsibility for conducting the survey shifted to SAMHSA's Office of Applied Studies (now the Center for Behavioral Health Statistics and Quality). The survey was redesigned, and it was conducted annually as the Uniform Facility Data Set (UFDS) survey from 1995 to 1998. During these years, the survey was conducted by mail with telephone follow-up of non-respondents. The 1999 survey year was a transition year during which the survey was redesigned and an abbreviated telephone survey of treatment facilities was conducted. In 2000, a redesigned full mail survey was reinstated with telephone follow-up; it was renamed the National Survey of Substance Abuse Treatment Services (N-SSATS). The reference date for the annual survey had always been the end of September or beginning of October. After the 2000 survey, the reference date was changed to the end of March, and no survey was conducted during 2001.

In 2000, the use of an Internet-based questionnaire was tested; beginning in 2002, all facilities were offered the opportunity to respond via the Internet.

## **N-SSATS in the Context of the Behavioral Health Services Information System (BHSIS)**

N-SSATS is one of the three components of SAMHSA's Behavioral Health Services Information System, or BHSIS (formerly the Drug and Alcohol Services Information System, or DASIS). The core of BHSIS is the Inventory of Behavioral Health Services, or I-BHS (until recently called the Inventory of Substance Abuse Treatment Services, or I-SATS). I-BHS is a continuously updated, comprehensive listing of all known substance abuse treatment facilities and mental health treatment. The other substance abuse component of BHSIS is the Treatment Episode Data Set (TEDS), a client-level database of admissions to and discharges from substance abuse treatment. Together, the components provide national- and state-level information on the numbers and characteristics of

individuals admitted to alcohol and drug treatment programs and describe the facilities that deliver care to those individuals.

I-BHS is the list frame for N-SSATS. Facilities in I-BHS fall into two general categories and are distinguished by the relationship of the facility to its state substance abuse agency. These categories are described below.

#### *Treatment facilities approved by state substance abuse agencies*

The largest group of facilities (10,943 in 2015) includes facilities that are licensed, certified, or otherwise approved by the state substance abuse agency to provide substance abuse treatment. State BHSIS representatives maintain this segment of I-BHS by reporting new facilities, closures, and address changes to SAMHSA. Some facilities are not licensed, certified, or otherwise approved by the state agency. Some private for-profit facilities fall into this category. The approved facility group also includes programs operated by federal agencies—the Department of Veterans Affairs (VA), the Department of Defense, the Indian Health Service—and opioid treatment programs certified by SAMHSA. I-BHS records for federally-operated facilities are updated annually through lists provided by these agencies.

#### *Treatment facilities not approved by state substance abuse agencies*

Treatment facilities not approved by state substance abuse agencies (2,930 in 2015) represent SAMHSA's efforts since the mid-1990s to make I-BHS as comprehensive as possible by including treatment facilities that state substance abuse agencies, for a variety of reasons, do not fund, license, or certify. Many of these facilities are private for-profit, small group practices, or hospital-based programs. Most of them are identified through periodic screening of alternative source databases. (See *Special efforts to improve survey coverage* below.) State substance abuse agencies are given the opportunity to review these facilities and to add them to the state agency-approved list, if appropriate.

### **Survey Coverage**

The use of I-BHS as the list frame for N-SSATS imposes certain constraints related to the unit of response and the scope of facilities included. In addition, the expansion of I-BHS in recent years to provide a more complete enumeration of substance abuse treatment facilities means that year-to-year comparisons of the numbers of facilities reporting to N-SSATS must be interpreted with caution.

#### *Unit of response*

N-SSATS is designed to collect data from each physical location where treatment services are provided. Accordingly, SAMHSA requests that state substance abuse agencies use the point of delivery of service (i.e., physical location) as the defining factor for a facility. Because of the different state administrative systems, however, there are some inconsistencies in implementation. For example, in some states, multiple treatment programs (e.g., detoxification, residential, and outpatient) at the same address and under the same management have separate state licenses. These are treated as separate by the state substance abuse agency, and are given separate I-BHS ID numbers. In other states, multiple sites are included as a single entity under a parent or administrative unit. In many of these cases, individual sites can report services data in N-SSATS, but client data are available only at a higher administrative level. Beginning in 1995, efforts have been made to identify

facility networks and to eliminate duplicate reporting by networks. For most facilities, reporting level remains consistent from year to year. However, beginning in 1998, an emphasis was placed on collecting minimum information from all physical locations, and this has resulted in an increase in the number of facilities.

#### *Special efforts to improve survey coverage*

The great majority of treatment facilities in I-BHS are administratively monitored by state substance abuse agencies. Therefore, the scope of facilities included in I-BHS is affected by differences in state systems of licensure, certification, accreditation, and the disbursement of public funds. For example, some state substance abuse agencies regulate private facilities and individual practitioners while others do not. In some states, hospital-based substance abuse treatment facilities are not licensed through the state substance abuse agency.

To address these differences, SAMHSA conducted a large-scale effort during 1995 and 1996 to identify substance abuse treatment facilities that, for a variety of reasons, were not on the inventory. About 15 source lists were examined, and facilities not on the inventory were contacted to ascertain whether or not they provided substance abuse treatment. As expected, this yielded a number of hospital-based and small private facilities that were not on the inventory. (These facilities were surveyed in 1995 and 1996, but they were not included in the published results of the survey until 1997.) Analysis of the results of this effort led to similar but more targeted updates before subsequent surveys. Potential new facilities are identified using data from the American Business Index, the annual American Hospital Association survey, and SAMHSA's National Mental Health Services Survey, the source lists that yielded the most new facilities in 1995 and 1996. Survey participants, who are asked to report all of the treatment facilities in their administrative networks, also identify additional facilities during the survey itself. All newly identified facilities are initially included as not approved by the state substance abuse agency. State substance abuse agencies are given the opportunity to review these facilities and to add them to the state agency-approved list, if appropriate.

Expansion of survey coverage to include all sites within networks at which treatment was provided yielded a net increase of about 2,600 facilities between 1997 and 1998. These additions were not necessarily new facilities, but were facilities not previously included in the survey as separate sites. The number of facilities reporting continued to increase in 1999, but at a slower pace, a net increase of 1,800 facilities. The increase between 1998 and 1999 was in large part because of the improved survey response rate (95 percent in 1999 vs. 90 percent in 1998). Between 2011 and 2015, the number of facilities eligible for inclusion in this report varied between 13,720 and 14,148. The total number of facilities eligible, however, does not necessarily reflect their composition. There was significant turnover as facilities closed and others opened.

#### *Data collection*

Until 1996, state substance abuse agencies distributed and collected the facility survey forms. Beginning in 1996, data collection was centralized; since that time, SAMHSA has mailed survey forms directly to and collected forms directly from the facilities and has conducted follow-up telephone interviews with the facility director or his/her designee. In 2000, SAMHSA introduced an online trial web version of the questionnaire for a few facilities in addition to the hard copy questionnaire. The web version of the questionnaire was fully implemented in 2002. The proportion

of facilities using the web survey to respond to N-SSATS has increased steadily since its full inception in 2002.

### *Non-response*

Beginning in 1992, SAMHSA expanded efforts to obtain information from non-responding facilities. A representative sample of non-respondents was contacted and administered an abbreviated version of the survey instrument via telephone. In 1993 and later years, this effort was extended to all non-responding facilities. In 1997, a series of measures was introduced to enhance the survey response rate. These included advance notification and improved methods for updating address and contact information. Between 2004 and 2015, use of these methods and intensive telephone follow-up resulted in an annual follow-up rate (i.e., facilities in the sample either completed the survey or were determined to be closed or otherwise ineligible) of 92 to 96 percent. In 2015, the follow-up rate was 92.6 percent.

### *Exclusions*

In 1997, facilities offering only DUI/DWI programs were excluded; these facilities were reinstated in 1998.

Facilities operated by the Bureau of Prisons (BOP) were excluded from the 1997 survey and subsequent surveys because SAMHSA conducted a separate survey of correctional facilities.<sup>3</sup> During that survey, it was discovered that jails, prisons, and other organizations treating incarcerated persons only were poorly enumerated on the inventory. Beginning in 1999, these facilities were identified during the survey and excluded from analyses and public use data files.

I-BHS and N-SSATS are designed to include specialty substance abuse treatment facilities rather than individuals. Solo practitioners are listed on I-BHS and surveyed in N-SSATS only if the state substance abuse agency explicitly requests that they be included.

Beginning in 2000, halfway houses that did not provide substance abuse treatment were included on the inventory and in N-SSATS so that they could be listed in the *National Directory of Drug and Alcohol Abuse Treatment Programs* and on the Locator (<http://findtreatment.samhsa.gov>). These facilities are excluded from analyses and public use data files.

## **Changes in Survey Content**

Since 1992, SAMHSA has made adjustments each year to the survey design, both to minimize non-response and to include areas of emerging interest. For example, questions on the number of clients in treatment receiving buprenorphine were added in 2004. Questions about clinical/therapeutic methods, standard practices, and Access to Recovery grants were added in 2007. Questions about facility smoking policy were added in 2011, and questions about outpatient facility operating capacity were modified in 2012.

---

<sup>3</sup> SAMHSA, Center for Behavioral Health Statistics and Quality, formerly Office of Applied Studies. *Substance Abuse Treatment in Adult and Juvenile Correctional Facilities: Findings from the Uniform Facility Data Set 1997 Survey of Correctional Facilities*. Drug and Alcohol Services Information System Series: S-9. DHHS Publication No. (SMA) 00-3380. Rockville, MD, 2000.

There have also been changes within content areas. For example, in response to concerns about over-reporting of programs or groups for specific client types, the survey question was revised in 2003 to distinguish between those facilities that accepted specific client types and those facilities that offered specially designed programs or groups for that client type. As a result, the number and proportion of facilities offering programs or groups for each of the specified client types decreased. Surveys from 2003 and later are believed to more accurately represent the number and proportions of facilities providing programs or groups for the specified client types. In addition, over time more categories have been added to the specific client types (e.g., persons who have experienced trauma, active duty military, and members of military families in 2012).

## FREQUENCIES

Note: The number in parentheses that follows each variable's headline description, e.g. "(Q.7)," denotes the corresponding question and response in the [survey instrument](#) from which the variable is drawn.

## **CASEID: Case identification number**

Program generated case (record) identifier.

Variable type: Numeric

## STATE: State abbreviations

Value	Label	Frequency	%
AK	Alaska	88	0.6%
AL	Alabama	139	1.0%
AR	Arkansas	76	0.5%
AZ	Arizona	341	2.5%
CA	California	1,399	10.1%
CO	Colorado	403	2.9%
CT	Connecticut	215	1.5%
DC	District of Columbia	33	0.2%
DE	Delaware	38	0.3%
FL	Florida	669	4.8%
FM	Fed States of Micronesia	1	0.0%
GA	Georgia	322	2.3%
GU	Guam	4	0.0%
HI	Hawaii	169	1.2%
IA	Iowa	151	1.1%
ID	Idaho	132	1.0%
IL	Illinois	654	4.7%
IN	Indiana	263	1.9%
KS	Kansas	208	1.5%
KY	Kentucky	334	2.4%
LA	Louisiana	159	1.1%
MA	Massachusetts	321	2.3%
MD	Maryland	382	2.8%
ME	Maine	208	1.5%
MI	Michigan	463	3.3%
MN	Minnesota	352	2.5%
MO	Missouri	265	1.9%
MS	Mississippi	99	0.7%
MT	Montana	66	0.5%
NC	North Carolina	440	3.2%
ND	North Dakota	64	0.5%
NE	Nebraska	132	1.0%
NH	New Hampshire	58	0.4%
NJ	New Jersey	377	2.7%
NM	New Mexico	159	1.1%
NV	Nevada	79	0.6%
NY	New York	901	6.5%
OH	Ohio	370	2.7%
OK	Oklahoma	208	1.5%

## STATE: State abbreviations

Value	Label	Frequency	%
OR	Oregon	237	1.7%
PA	Pennsylvania	523	3.8%
PR	Puerto Rico	146	1.1%
PW	Rep of Palau	1	0.0%
RI	Rhode Island	47	0.3%
SC	South Carolina	109	0.8%
SD	South Dakota	70	0.5%
TN	Tennessee	227	1.6%
TX	Texas	442	3.2%
UT	Utah	184	1.3%
VA	Virginia	230	1.7%
VI	Virgin Islands	3	0.0%
VT	Vermont	44	0.3%
WA	Washington	418	3.0%
WI	Wisconsin	288	2.1%
WV	West Virginia	103	0.7%
WY	Wyoming	59	0.4%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>13,873</i>	<i>100%</i>

Variable type: Character

## DETOX: Offer detoxification (Q.1)

Value	Label	Frequency	%
0	No	11,008	79.3%
1	Yes	2,865	20.7%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>13,873</i>	<i>100%</i>

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 1

Variable type: Numeric

## TREATMT: Offer substance abuse treatment (Q.1)

Value	Label	Frequency	%
0	No	176	1.3%
1	Yes	13,697	98.7%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>13,873</i>	<i>100%</i>

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 1

Variable type: Numeric

## OWNERSHP: Ownership (Q.7)

Value	Label	Frequency	%
1	Private-for-profit org	4,659	33.6%
2	Private non-profit org	7,577	54.6%
3	State govt	350	2.5%
4	Local, county, or community govt	702	5.1%
5	Tribal govt	264	1.9%
6	Federal govt	321	2.3%
	<i>Total</i>	13,873	100%

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 6

Variable type: Numeric

## FEDOWN: Federal government agency (Q.7a)

Value	Label	Frequency	%
1	Dept of Veterans Affairs	203	1.5%
2	Dept of Defense	80	0.6%
3	Indian Health Service	31	0.2%
4	Other	7	0.1%
.	Logical skip	13,552	97.7%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>13,873</i>	<i>100%</i>

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 4

Variable type: Numeric

## HOSPITAL: Located in/operated by hospital (Q.9)

Value	Label	Frequency	%
0	No	12,492	90.0%
1	Yes	1,378	9.9%
.M	Missing	3	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>13,873</i>	<i>100%</i>

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 1

Variable type: Numeric

## LOCS: Hospital type (Q.9a)

Value	Label	Frequency	%
1	General hospital (including VA)	999	7.2%
2	Psychiatric hospital	308	2.2%
3	Other specialty hospital	71	0.5%
.	Logical skip	12,495	90.1%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>13,873</i>	<i>100%</i>

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 3

Variable type: Numeric

## ASSESSMENT: Number of assessment services offered (Q.11)

Value	Label	Frequency	%
0	0 assessment services offered	191	1.4%
1	1 assessment service offered	355	2.6%
2	2 assessment services offered	1,003	7.2%
3	3 assessment services offered	1,633	11.8%
4	4 assessment services offered	2,415	17.4%
5	5 assessment services offered	3,011	21.7%
6	6 assessment services offered	3,052	22.0%
7	7 assessment services offered	2,213	16.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>13,873</i>	<i>100%</i>

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 7

Variable type: Numeric

## TESTING: Number of testing services offered (Q.11)

Value	Label	Frequency	%
0	0 testing services offered	1,573	11.3%
1	1 testing service offered	2,482	17.9%
2	2 testing services offered	4,423	31.9%
3	3 testing services offered	1,405	10.1%
4	4 testing services offered	735	5.3%
5	5 testing services offered	741	5.3%
6	6 testing services offered	813	5.9%
7	7 testing services offered	1,699	12.2%
.	Logical skip	2	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>13,873</i>	<i>100%</i>

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 7

Variable type: Numeric

## TRANSITION: Number of transition services offered (Q.11)

Value	Label	Frequency	%
0	0 transition services offered	218	1.6%
1	1 transition service offered	2,232	16.1%
2	2 transition services offered	11,419	82.3%
.	Logical skip	4	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>13,873</i>	<i>100%</i>

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 2

Variable type: Numeric

## ANCILLARY: Number of ancillary services offered (Q.11)

Value	Label	Frequency	%
0	0 ancillary services offered	66	0.5%
1	1 ancillary service offered	326	2.3%
2	2 ancillary services offered	533	3.8%
3	3 ancillary services offered	703	5.1%
4	4 ancillary services offered	825	5.9%
5	5 ancillary services offered	887	6.4%
6	6 ancillary services offered	985	7.1%
7	7 ancillary services offered	1,042	7.5%
8	8 ancillary services offered	1,084	7.8%
9	9 ancillary services offered	1,116	8.0%
10	10 ancillary services offered	1,128	8.1%
11	11 ancillary services offered	1,083	7.8%
12	12 ancillary services offered	1,035	7.5%
13	13 ancillary services offered	964	6.9%
14	14 ancillary services offered	814	5.9%
15	15 ancillary services offered	614	4.4%
16	16 ancillary services offered	408	2.9%
17	17 ancillary services offered	163	1.2%
18	18 ancillary services offered	89	0.6%
19	19 ancillary services offered	7	0.1%
.	Logical skip	1	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>13,873</i>	<i>100%</i>

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 19

Variable type: Numeric

## OTHER\_SRVC: Number of other services offered (Q.11)

Value	Label	Frequency	%
0	0 other services offered	10,074	72.6%
1	1 other service offered	2,134	15.4%
2	2 other services offered	634	4.6%
3	3 other services offered	1,025	7.4%
.	Logical skip	6	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>13,873</i>	<i>100%</i>

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 3

Variable type: Numeric

## SRVC71: Pharmacotherapies - Naltrexone (Q.11)

Value	Label	Frequency	%
0	No	10,706	77.2%
1	Yes	3,167	22.8%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>13,873</i>	<i>100%</i>

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 1

Variable type: Numeric

## SRVC108: Pharmacotherapies - Vivitrol® (Q.11)

Value	Label	Frequency	%
0	No	11,599	83.6%
1	Yes	2,274	16.4%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>13,873</i>	<i>100%</i>

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 1

Variable type: Numeric

## SRVC85: Pharmacotherapies - Methadone (Q.11)

Value	Label	Frequency	%
0	No	12,202	88.0%
1	Yes	1,671	12.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>13,873</i>	<i>100%</i>

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 1

Variable type: Numeric

## SRVC87: Pharmacotherapies - Buprenorphine with naloxone (Suboxone) (Q.11)

Value	Label	Frequency	%
0	No	10,646	76.7%
1	Yes	3,227	23.3%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>13,873</i>	<i>100%</i>

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 1

Variable type: Numeric

## SRVC86: Pharmacotherapies - Buprenorphine without naloxone (Q.11)

Value	Label	Frequency	%
0	No	11,962	86.2%
1	Yes	1,911	13.8%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>13,873</i>	<i>100%</i>

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 1

Variable type: Numeric

## OTP: Operate an opioid treatment program (Q.12)

Value	Label	Frequency	%
0	No	12,524	90.3%
1	Yes	1,349	9.7%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>13,873</i>	<i>100%</i>

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 1

Variable type: Numeric

## OTPALL: All clients in OTP (Q.12a)

Value	Label	Frequency	%
0	No	2,277	16.4%
1	Yes	993	7.2%
.	Logical skip	10,603	76.4%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>13,873</i>	<i>100%</i>

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 1

Variable type: Numeric

**OPIOIDMAINT: Maintenance services with methadone or buprenorphine (Q.12b)**

Value	Label	Frequency	%
0	No	981	7.1%
1	Yes	2,289	16.5%
.	Logical skip	10,603	76.4%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>13,873</i>	<i>100%</i>

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 1

Variable type: Numeric

**OPIOIDWDRAW: Maintenance services with medically supervised withdrawal after pre-determined time (Q.12b)**

Value	Label	Frequency	%
0	No	2,180	15.7%
1	Yes	1,090	7.9%
.	Logical skip	10,603	76.4%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>13,873</i>	<i>100%</i>

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 1

Variable type: Numeric

## OPIOIDDETOX: Detoxification services with methadone or burprenorphine (Q.12b)

Value	Label	Frequency	%
0	No	1,779	12.8%
1	Yes	1,491	10.7%
.	Logical skip	10,603	76.4%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>13,873</i>	<i>100%</i>

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 1

Variable type: Numeric

## OPIOIDNAL: Relapse prevention with naltrexone (Vivitrol®) (Q.12b)

Value	Label	Frequency	%
0	No	1,763	12.7%
1	Yes	1,507	10.9%
.	Logical skip	10,603	76.4%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>13,873</i>	<i>100%</i>

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 1

Variable type: Numeric

## COMPSAT: Use frequency of computerized substance abuse treatment (Q.14)

Value	Label	Frequency	%
1	Never	8,808	63.5%
2	Rarely	1,700	12.3%
3	Sometimes	1,216	8.8%
4	Alwaysoften	650	4.7%
5	Approach unfamiliar	492	3.5%
.M	Missing	1,007	7.3%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>13,873</i>	<i>100%</i>

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 5

Variable type: Numeric

## DUI\_DWI: Program for DUI/DWI/other offenders (Q.16)

Value	Label	Frequency	%
0	No	10,082	72.7%
1	Yes	3,787	27.3%
.M	Missing	4	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>13,873</i>	<i>100%</i>

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 1

Variable type: Numeric

**ONLYDUI: All substance abuse treatment clients identifying as DUI/DWI offenders (Q.16a)**

Value	Label	Frequency	%
0	No	3,689	26.6%
1	Yes	98	0.7%
.	Logical skip	10,086	72.7%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>13,873</i>	<i>100%</i>

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 1

Variable type: Numeric

## SIGNLANG: Treatment in sign language - Y/N (Q.17)

Value	Label	Frequency	%
0	No	9,666	69.7%
1	Yes	4,197	30.3%
.M	Missing	10	0.1%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>13,873</i>	<i>100%</i>

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 1

Variable type: Numeric

## LANG: Treatment in language other than English - Y/N (Q.18)

Value	Label	Frequency	%
0	No	7,412	53.4%
1	Yes	6,459	46.6%
.M	Missing	2	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>13,873</i>	<i>100%</i>

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 1

Variable type: Numeric

**LANG16: Do staff counselors provide substance abuse treatment in Spanish (Q.18a1)**

Value	Label	Frequency	%
0	No	249	1.8%
1	Yes	3,410	24.6%
.	Logical skip	10,211	73.6%
.M	Missing	3	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>13,873</i>	<i>100%</i>

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 1

Variable type: Numeric

## LANG1: Treatment in Hopi (Q.18b)

Value	Label	Frequency	%
0	No	830	6.0%
1	Yes	9	0.1%
.	Logical skip	13,029	93.9%
.M	Missing	5	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>13,873</i>	<i>100%</i>

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 1

Variable type: Numeric

## LANG2: Treatment in Lakota (Q.18b)

Value	Label	Frequency	%
0	No	821	5.9%
1	Yes	18	0.1%
.	Logical skip	13,029	93.9%
.M	Missing	5	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>13,873</i>	<i>100%</i>

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 1

Variable type: Numeric

### LANG3: Treatment in Navajo (Q.18b)

Value	Label	Frequency	%
0	No	797	5.7%
1	Yes	42	0.3%
.	Logical skip	13,029	93.9%
.M	Missing	5	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>13,873</i>	<i>100%</i>

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 1

Variable type: Numeric

## LANG21: Treatment in Ojibwa (Q.18b)

Value	Label	Frequency	%
0	No	828	6.0%
1	Yes	11	0.1%
.	Logical skip	13,029	93.9%
.M	Missing	5	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>13,873</i>	<i>100%</i>

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 1

Variable type: Numeric

## LANG4: Treatment in Yupik (Q.18b)

Value	Label	Frequency	%
0	No	828	6.0%
1	Yes	11	0.1%
.	Logical skip	13,029	93.9%
.M	Missing	5	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>13,873</i>	<i>100%</i>

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 1

Variable type: Numeric

## LANG5: Treatment in other American Indian/Alaska Native language (Q.18b)

Value	Label	Frequency	%
0	No	815	5.9%
1	Yes	28	0.2%
.	Logical skip	13,029	93.9%
.M	Missing	1	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>13,873</i>	<i>100%</i>

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 1

Variable type: Numeric

## LANG6: Treatment in Arabic (Q.18b)

Value	Label	Frequency	%
0	No	769	5.5%
1	Yes	70	0.5%
.	Logical skip	13,029	93.9%
.M	Missing	5	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>13,873</i>	<i>100%</i>

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 1

Variable type: Numeric

## LANG7: Treatment in Chinese (Q.18b)

Value	Label	Frequency	%
0	No	760	5.5%
1	Yes	79	0.6%
.	Logical skip	13,029	93.9%
.M	Missing	5	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>13,873</i>	<i>100%</i>

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 1

Variable type: Numeric

## LANG8: Treatment in Creole (Q.18b)

Value	Label	Frequency	%
0	No	736	5.3%
1	Yes	103	0.7%
.	Logical skip	13,029	93.9%
.M	Missing	5	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>13,873</i>	<i>100%</i>

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 1

Variable type: Numeric

## LANG24: Treatment in Farsi (Q.18b)

Value	Label	Frequency	%
0	No	788	5.7%
1	Yes	51	0.4%
.	Logical skip	13,029	93.9%
.M	Missing	5	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>13,873</i>	<i>100%</i>

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 1

Variable type: Numeric

## LANG9: Treatment in French (Q.18b)

Value	Label	Frequency	%
0	No	702	5.1%
1	Yes	137	1.0%
.	Logical skip	13,029	93.9%
.M	Missing	5	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>13,873</i>	<i>100%</i>

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 1

Variable type: Numeric

## LANG10: Treatment in German (Q.18b)

Value	Label	Frequency	%
0	No	771	5.6%
1	Yes	68	0.5%
.	Logical skip	13,029	93.9%
.M	Missing	5	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>13,873</i>	<i>100%</i>

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 1

Variable type: Numeric

## LANG22: Treatment in Greek (Q.18b)

Value	Label	Frequency	%
0	No	818	5.9%
1	Yes	21	0.2%
.	Logical skip	13,029	93.9%
.M	Missing	5	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>13,873</i>	<i>100%</i>

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 1

Variable type: Numeric

## LANG25: Treatment in Hebrew (Q.18b)

Value	Label	Frequency	%
0	No	813	5.9%
1	Yes	26	0.2%
.	Logical skip	13,029	93.9%
.M	Missing	5	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>13,873</i>	<i>100%</i>

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 1

Variable type: Numeric

## LANG26: Treatment in Hindi (Q.18b)

Value	Label	Frequency	%
0	No	794	5.7%
1	Yes	45	0.3%
.	Logical skip	13,029	93.9%
.M	Missing	5	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>13,873</i>	<i>100%</i>

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 1

Variable type: Numeric

## LANG11: Treatment in Hmong (Q.18b)

Value	Label	Frequency	%
0	No	793	5.7%
1	Yes	46	0.3%
.	Logical skip	13,029	93.9%
.M	Missing	5	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>13,873</i>	<i>100%</i>

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 1

Variable type: Numeric

## LANG19: Treatment in Italian (Q.18b)

Value	Label	Frequency	%
0	No	785	5.7%
1	Yes	54	0.4%
.	Logical skip	13,029	93.9%
.M	Missing	5	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>13,873</i>	<i>100%</i>

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 1

Variable type: Numeric

## LANG23: Treatment in Japanese (Q.18b)

Value	Label	Frequency	%
0	No	792	5.7%
1	Yes	47	0.3%
.	Logical skip	13,029	93.9%
.M	Missing	5	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>13,873</i>	<i>100%</i>

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 1

Variable type: Numeric

## LANG12: Treatment in Korean (Q.18b)

Value	Label	Frequency	%
0	No	787	5.7%
1	Yes	52	0.4%
.	Logical skip	13,029	93.9%
.M	Missing	5	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>13,873</i>	<i>100%</i>

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 1

Variable type: Numeric

## LANG13: Treatment in Polish (Q.18b)

Value	Label	Frequency	%
0	No	720	5.2%
1	Yes	119	0.9%
.	Logical skip	13,029	93.9%
.M	Missing	5	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>13,873</i>	<i>100%</i>

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 1

Variable type: Numeric

## LANG14: Treatment in Portuguese (Q.18b)

Value	Label	Frequency	%
0	No	727	5.2%
1	Yes	112	0.8%
.	Logical skip	13,029	93.9%
.M	Missing	5	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>13,873</i>	<i>100%</i>

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 1

Variable type: Numeric

## LANG15: Treatment in Russian (Q.18b)

Value	Label	Frequency	%
0	No	679	4.9%
1	Yes	160	1.2%
.	Logical skip	13,029	93.9%
.M	Missing	5	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>13,873</i>	<i>100%</i>

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 1

Variable type: Numeric

## LANG20: Treatment in Tagalog (Q.18b)

Value	Label	Frequency	%
0	No	774	5.6%
1	Yes	65	0.5%
.	Logical skip	13,029	93.9%
.M	Missing	5	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>13,873</i>	<i>100%</i>

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 1

Variable type: Numeric

## LANG17: Treatment in Vietnamese (Q.18b)

Value	Label	Frequency	%
0	No	772	5.6%
1	Yes	67	0.5%
.	Logical skip	13,029	93.9%
.M	Missing	5	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>13,873</i>	<i>100%</i>

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 1

Variable type: Numeric

## LANG18: Treatment in other language (Q.18b)

Value	Label	Frequency	%
0	No	725	5.2%
1	Yes	118	0.9%
.	Logical skip	13,029	93.9%
.M	Missing	1	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>13,873</i>	<i>100%</i>

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 1

Variable type: Numeric

### SRVC30: Specific program/group for adolescents (Q.19a)

Value	Label	Frequency	%
0	No	10,099	72.8%
1	Yes	3,714	26.8%
.D	Don't know	1	0.0%
.M	Missing	59	0.4%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>13,873</i>	<i>100%</i>

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 1

Variable type: Numeric

### SRVC34: Specific program/group for adult women (Q.19a)

Value	Label	Frequency	%
0	No	7,675	55.3%
1	Yes	6,138	44.2%
.D	Don't know	1	0.0%
.M	Missing	59	0.4%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>13,873</i>	<i>100%</i>

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 1

Variable type: Numeric

### SRVC33: Specific program/group for pregnant/postpartum women (Q.19a)

Value	Label	Frequency	%
0	No	10,960	79.0%
1	Yes	2,853	20.6%
.D	Don't know	1	0.0%
.M	Missing	59	0.4%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>13,873</i>	<i>100%</i>

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 1

Variable type: Numeric

## SRVC64: Specific program/group for adult men (Q.19a)

Value	Label	Frequency	%
0	No	8,005	57.7%
1	Yes	5,808	41.9%
.D	Don't know	1	0.0%
.M	Missing	59	0.4%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>13,873</i>	<i>100%</i>

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 1

Variable type: Numeric

## SRVC63: Specific program/group for seniors (Q.19a)

Value	Label	Frequency	%
0	No	11,197	80.7%
1	Yes	2,616	18.9%
.D	Don't know	1	0.0%
.M	Missing	59	0.4%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>13,873</i>	<i>100%</i>

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 1

Variable type: Numeric

**SRVC62: Specific program/group for clients who identified as lesbian/gay/bisexual/trans (LGBT) (Q.19a)**

Value	Label	Frequency	%
0	No	11,394	82.1%
1	Yes	2,419	17.4%
.D	Don't know	1	0.0%
.M	Missing	59	0.4%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>13,873</i>	<i>100%</i>

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 1

Variable type: Numeric

## SRVC113: Specific program/group for veterans (Q.19a)

Value	Label	Frequency	%
0	No	11,398	82.2%
1	Yes	2,415	17.4%
.D	Don't know	1	0.0%
.M	Missing	59	0.4%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>13,873</i>	<i>100%</i>

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 1

Variable type: Numeric

## SRVC114: Specific program/group for active duty military (Q.19a)

Value	Label	Frequency	%
0	No	12,505	90.1%
1	Yes	1,308	9.4%
.D	Don't know	1	0.0%
.M	Missing	59	0.4%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>13,873</i>	<i>100%</i>

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 1

Variable type: Numeric

## SRVC115: Specific program/group for military families (Q.19a)

Value	Label	Frequency	%
0	No	12,330	88.9%
1	Yes	1,483	10.7%
.D	Don't know	1	0.0%
.M	Missing	59	0.4%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>13,873</i>	<i>100%</i>

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 1

Variable type: Numeric

## SRVC61: Specific program/group for criminal justice clients (Q.19a)

Value	Label	Frequency	%
0	No	9,413	67.9%
1	Yes	4,400	31.7%
.D	Don't know	1	0.0%
.M	Missing	59	0.4%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>13,873</i>	<i>100%</i>

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 1

Variable type: Numeric

**SRVC31: Specific program/group for co-occurring substance abuse and mental health disorder (Q.19a)**

Value	Label	Frequency	%
0	No	7,764	56.0%
1	Yes	6,050	43.6%
.D	Don't know	1	0.0%
.M	Missing	58	0.4%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>13,873</i>	<i>100%</i>

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 1

Variable type: Numeric

## SRVC32: Specific program/group for persons with HIV/AIDS (Q.19a)

Value	Label	Frequency	%
0	No	11,478	82.7%
1	Yes	2,335	16.8%
.D	Don't know	1	0.0%
.M	Missing	59	0.4%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>13,873</i>	<i>100%</i>

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 1

Variable type: Numeric

**SRVC116: Specific program/group for persons who have experienced trauma (Q.19a)**

Value	Label	Frequency	%
0	No	9,343	67.3%
1	Yes	4,470	32.2%
.D	Don't know	1	0.0%
.M	Missing	59	0.4%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>13,873</i>	<i>100%</i>

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 1

Variable type: Numeric

**CTYPE4: Hospital inpatient substance abuse care offered (Q.20)**

Value	Label	Frequency	%
0	No	13,149	94.8%
1	Yes	724	5.2%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>13,873</i>	<i>100%</i>

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 1

Variable type: Numeric

**CTYPEH11: Hospital inpatient detoxification care offered (Q.20)**

Value	Label	Frequency	%
0	No	85	0.6%
1	Yes	639	4.6%
.	Logical skip	13,149	94.8%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>13,873</i>	<i>100%</i>

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 1

Variable type: Numeric

**CTYPEHI2: Hospital inpatient treatment care offered (Q.20)**

Value	Label	Frequency	%
0	No	197	1.4%
1	Yes	527	3.8%
.	Logical skip	13,149	94.8%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>13,873</i>	<i>100%</i>

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 1

Variable type: Numeric

**CTYPE7: Non-hospital residential substance abuse care offered (Q.21)**

Value	Label	Frequency	%
0	No	10,490	75.6%
1	Yes	3,383	24.4%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>13,873</i>	<i>100%</i>

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 1

Variable type: Numeric

**CTYPERC1: Non-hospital residential detoxification care offered (Q.21)**

Value	Label	Frequency	%
0	No	2,496	18.0%
1	Yes	887	6.4%
.	Logical skip	10,490	75.6%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>13,873</i>	<i>100%</i>

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 1

Variable type: Numeric

### CTYPERC3: Non-hospital residential short-term treatment offered (Q.21)

Value	Label	Frequency	%
0	No	1,643	11.8%
1	Yes	1,740	12.5%
.	Logical skip	10,490	75.6%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>13,873</i>	<i>100%</i>

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 1

Variable type: Numeric

## CTYPERC4: Non-hospital residential long-term treatment offered (Q.21)

Value	Label	Frequency	%
0	No	614	4.4%
1	Yes	2,769	20.0%
.	Logical skip	10,490	75.6%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>13,873</i>	<i>100%</i>

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 1

Variable type: Numeric

**CTYPE1: Any outpatient substance abuse care offered (Q.22)**

Value	Label	Frequency	%
0	No	2,537	18.3%
1	Yes	11,336	81.7%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>13,873</i>	<i>100%</i>

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 1

Variable type: Numeric

**CTYPE6: Outpatient detoxification offered (Q.22)**

Value	Label	Frequency	%
0	No	9,986	72.0%
1	Yes	1,350	9.7%
.	Logical skip	2,537	18.3%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>13,873</i>	<i>100%</i>

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 1

Variable type: Numeric

## CTYPEML: Outpatient methadone maintenance offered (Q.22)

Value	Label	Frequency	%
0	No	8,663	62.4%
1	Yes	2,673	19.3%
.	Logical skip	2,537	18.3%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>13,873</i>	<i>100%</i>

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 1

Variable type: Numeric

## CTYPEOP: Day treatment/partial hospital offered (Q.22)

Value	Label	Frequency	%
0	No	9,589	69.1%
1	Yes	1,747	12.6%
.	Logical skip	2,537	18.3%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>13,873</i>	<i>100%</i>

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 1

Variable type: Numeric

## CTYPE2: Intensive outpatient treatment offered (Q.22)

Value	Label	Frequency	%
0	No	5,075	36.6%
1	Yes	6,261	45.1%
.	Logical skip	2,537	18.3%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>13,873</i>	<i>100%</i>

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 1

Variable type: Numeric

### CTYPE3: Regular outpatient treatment offered (Q.22)

Value	Label	Frequency	%
0	No	754	5.4%
1	Yes	10,582	76.3%
.	Logical skip	2,537	18.3%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>13,873</i>	<i>100%</i>

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 1

Variable type: Numeric

## FEESCALE: Uses sliding fee scale (Q.23)

Value	Label	Frequency	%
0	No	5,534	39.9%
1	Yes	8,333	60.1%
.M	Missing	6	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>13,873</i>	<i>100%</i>

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 1

Variable type: Numeric

## PAYASST: Offers no charge or free treatment (Q.24)

Value	Label	Frequency	%
0	No	7,364	53.1%
1	Yes	6,500	46.9%
.M	Missing	9	0.1%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>13,873</i>	<i>100%</i>

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 1

Variable type: Numeric

**EARMARK: Receive any federal, state, county, or local funds (Q.25)**

Value	Label	Frequency	%
0	No	5,796	41.8%
1	Yes	7,575	54.6%
.D	Don't know	485	3.5%
.M	Missing	17	0.1%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>13,873</i>	<i>100%</i>

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 1

Variable type: Numeric

### REVCHK3: Free treatment to all clients (Q.26)

Value	Label	Frequency	%
0	No	13,286	95.8%
1	Yes	494	3.6%
.D	Don't know	93	0.7%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>13,873</i>	<i>100%</i>

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 1

Variable type: Numeric

## REVCHK1: Accepts cash or self-payment (Q.26)

Value	Label	Frequency	%
0	No	1,369	9.9%
1	Yes	12,396	89.4%
.D	Don't know	107	0.8%
.X	Multiple responses	1	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>13,873</i>	<i>100%</i>

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 1

Variable type: Numeric

## REVCHK8: Accepts Medicare payments (Q.26)

Value	Label	Frequency	%
0	No	8,845	63.8%
1	Yes	4,696	33.8%
.D	Don't know	331	2.4%
.X	Multiple responses	1	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>13,873</i>	<i>100%</i>

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 1

Variable type: Numeric

## REVCHK5: Accepts Medicaid payments (Q.26)

Value	Label	Frequency	%
0	No	5,083	36.6%
1	Yes	8,570	61.8%
.D	Don't know	219	1.6%
.M	Missing	1	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>13,873</i>	<i>100%</i>

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 1

Variable type: Numeric

## REVCHK10: Accepts state financed health insurance (Q.26)

Value	Label	Frequency	%
0	No	6,806	49.1%
1	Yes	6,261	45.1%
.D	Don't know	806	5.8%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>13,873</i>	<i>100%</i>

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 1

Variable type: Numeric

## REVCHK15: Accepts federal military insurance (Q.26)

Value	Label	Frequency	%
0	No	8,241	59.4%
1	Yes	4,572	33.0%
.D	Don't know	1,059	7.6%
.M	Missing	1	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>13,873</i>	<i>100%</i>

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 1

Variable type: Numeric

## REVCHK2: Accepts private health insurance (Q.26)

Value	Label	Frequency	%
0	No	4,274	30.8%
1	Yes	9,379	67.6%
.D	Don't know	220	1.6%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>13,873</i>	<i>100%</i>

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 1

Variable type: Numeric

## REVCHK17: Accepts IHS/638 contract care funds (Q.26)

Value	Label	Frequency	%
0	No	9,240	66.6%
1	Yes	825	5.9%
.D	Don't know	3,808	27.4%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>13,873</i>	<i>100%</i>

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 1

Variable type: Numeric

## T\_CLI1: Hospital inpatient clients, detoxification - for OTP facilities (Q.29a)

Value	Label	Frequency	%
1	0-5	33	2.4%
2	6-10	15	1.1%
3	11-16	17	1.3%
4	17-24	16	1.2%
5	25+	26	1.9%
.	Logical skip	1,242	92.1%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>1,349</i>	<i>100%</i>

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 5

Variable type: Numeric

## T\_CLI1: Hospital inpatient clients, detoxification - for DUI\_DWI facilities (Q.29a)

Value	Label	Frequency	%
1	0-2	16	0.4%
2	3-4	6	0.2%
3	5-7	7	0.2%
4	8-12	8	0.2%
5	13+	8	0.2%
.	Logical skip	3,603	98.8%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>3,648</i>	<i>100%</i>

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 5

Variable type: Numeric

**T\_CLI1: Hospital inpatient clients, detoxification - for non-OTP and non-DUI\_DWI facilities (Q.29a)**

Value	Label	Frequency	%
1	0-2	240	2.7%
2	3-4	63	0.7%
3	5-7	88	1.0%
4	8-12	79	0.9%
5	13+	105	1.2%
.	Logical skip	8,300	93.5%
.D	Don't know	1	0.0%
<i>Total</i>		<b>8,876</b>	<b>100%</b>

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 5

Variable type: Numeric

## T\_CLI2: Hospital inpatient clients, treatment - for OTP facilities (Q.29a)

Value	Label	Frequency	%
1	0-5	67	5.0%
2	6-13	6	0.4%
3	14-20	14	1.0%
4	21-40	9	0.7%
5	41+	11	0.8%
.	Logical skip	1,242	92.1%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>1,349</i>	<i>100%</i>

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 5

Variable type: Numeric

## T\_CLI2: Hospital inpatient clients, treatment - for DUI\_DWI facilities (Q.29a)

Value	Label	Frequency	%
1	0-4	20	0.5%
2	5-8	4	0.1%
3	9-15	5	0.1%
4	16-30	4	0.1%
5	31+	10	0.3%
.	Logical skip	3,605	98.8%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>3,648</i>	<i>100%</i>

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 5

Variable type: Numeric

**T\_CLI2: Hospital inpatient clients, treatment - for non-OTP and non-DUI\_DWI facilities (Q.29a)**

Value	Label	Frequency	%
1	0-4	310	3.5%
2	5-8	61	0.7%
3	9-15	59	0.7%
4	16-28	64	0.7%
5	29+	78	0.9%
.	Logical skip	8,304	93.6%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>8,876</i>	<i>100%</i>

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 5

Variable type: Numeric

## T\_CLIHI: Total number of hospital inpatients - for OTP facilities (Q.29a)

Value	Label	Frequency	%
1	0-7	42	3.1%
2	8-15	19	1.4%
3	16-24	18	1.3%
4	25-43	22	1.6%
5	44+	23	1.7%
.	Logical skip	1,225	90.8%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>1,349</i>	<i>100%</i>

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 5

Variable type: Numeric

## T\_CLIHI: Total number of hospital inpatients - for DUI\_DWI facilities (Q.29a)

Value	Label	Frequency	%
1	0-4	312	8.6%
2	5-8	8	0.2%
3	9-15	6	0.2%
4	16-25	8	0.2%
5	26+	11	0.3%
.	Logical skip	3,303	90.5%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>3,648</i>	<i>100%</i>

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 5

Variable type: Numeric

**T\_CLIHI: Total number of hospital inpatients - for non-OTP and non-DUI\_DWI facilities (Q.29a)**

Value	Label	Frequency	%
1	0-4	819	9.2%
2	5-8	82	0.9%
3	9-14	94	1.1%
4	15-26	109	1.2%
5	27+	129	1.5%
.	Logical skip	7,643	86.1%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>8,876</i>	<i>100%</i>

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 5

Variable type: Numeric

## H\_AGE1: Hospital inpatient clients under 18 years old (Q.29b)

Value	Label	Frequency	%
1	0-2	674	4.9%
2	3-4	5	0.0%
3	5-10	14	0.1%
4	11-17	13	0.1%
5	18+	21	0.2%
.	Logical skip	13,146	94.8%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>13,873</i>	<i>100%</i>

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 5

Variable type: Numeric

## HIMETNUM: Total methadone inpatients - for OTP facilities (Q.29c)

Value	Label	Frequency	%
1	0-2	52	3.9%
2	3-5	14	1.0%
3	6-8	6	0.4%
4	9-17	15	1.1%
5	18+	19	1.4%
.	Logical skip	1,242	92.1%
.M	Missing	1	0.1%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>1,349</i>	<i>100%</i>

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 5

Variable type: Numeric

## HIBUPNUM: Total buprenorphine inpatients (Q.29c)

Value	Label	Frequency	%
1	0-2	548	4.0%
2	3	32	0.2%
3	4-5	42	0.3%
4	6-10	51	0.4%
5	11+	52	0.4%
.	Logical skip	13,146	94.8%
.M	Missing	2	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>13,873</i>	<i>100%</i>

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 5

Variable type: Numeric

## HIVVNUM: Total Vivitrol® inpatients (Q.29c)

Value	Label	Frequency	%
1	0-1	684	4.9%
2	2	13	0.1%
3	3	9	0.1%
4	4-5	11	0.1%
5	6+	9	0.1%
.	Logical skip	13,146	94.8%
.M	Missing	1	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>13,873</i>	<i>100%</i>

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 5

Variable type: Numeric

## HIMATNUM: Inpatient - total MAT - clients - March 31, 2015 (Q.29c)

Value	Label	Frequency	%
1	0-3	519	3.7%
2	4-5	43	0.3%
3	6-8	46	0.3%
4	9-15	60	0.4%
5	16+	58	0.4%
.	Logical skip	13,147	94.8%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>13,873</i>	<i>100%</i>

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 5

Variable type: Numeric

## HOSPBED: Total beds designated-hospital inpatient clients (Q.29d)

Enter a number (if none, enter "0")

Value	Label	Frequency	%
1	0-10	285	2.1%
2	11-15	84	0.6%
3	16-21	109	0.8%
4	22-34	88	0.6%
5	35+	104	0.7%
.	Logical skip	13,146	94.8%
.M	Missing	57	0.4%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>13,873</i>	<i>100%</i>

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 5

Variable type: Numeric

### T\_CLI3: Residential clients, detoxification - for OTP facilities (Q.30a)

Value	Label	Frequency	%
1	0-6	77	5.7%
2	7-11	9	0.7%
3	12-20	9	0.7%
4	21-31	4	0.3%
5	32+	11	0.8%
.	Logical skip	1,239	91.8%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>1,349</i>	<i>100%</i>

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 5

Variable type: Numeric

### T\_CLI3: Residential clients, detoxification - for DUI\_DWI facilities (Q.30a)

Value	Label	Frequency	%
1	0-2	206	5.6%
2	3	8	0.2%
3	4-6	22	0.6%
4	7-11	16	0.4%
5	12+	25	0.7%
.	Logical skip	3,371	92.4%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>3,648</i>	<i>100%</i>

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 5

Variable type: Numeric

**T\_CLI3: Residential clients, detoxification - for non-OTP and non-DUI\_DWI facilities (Q.30a)**

Value	Label	Frequency	%
1	0-2	2,439	27.5%
2	3-5	117	1.3%
3	6-8	81	0.9%
4	9-15	105	1.2%
5	16+	113	1.3%
.	Logical skip	6,021	67.8%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>8,876</i>	<i>100%</i>

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 5

Variable type: Numeric

## T\_CLI9: Residential clients, short-term detoxification - for OTP facilities (Q.30a)

Value	Label	Frequency	%
1	0-11	49	3.6%
2	12-18	13	1.0%
3	19-26	17	1.3%
4	27-48	15	1.1%
5	49+	17	1.3%
.	Logical skip	1,238	91.8%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>1,349</i>	<i>100%</i>

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 5

Variable type: Numeric

**T\_CLI9: Residential clients, short-term detoxification - for DUI\_DWI facilities  
(Q.30a)**

Value	Label	Frequency	%
1	0-6	155	4.2%
2	7-11	31	0.8%
3	12-18	38	1.0%
4	19-30	37	1.0%
5	31+	26	0.7%
.	Logical skip	3,361	92.1%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>3,648</i>	<i>100%</i>

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 5

Variable type: Numeric

**T\_CLI9: Residential clients, short-term detoxification - for non-OTP and non-DUI\_DWI facilities (Q.30a)**

Value	Label	Frequency	%
1	0-5	2,022	22.8%
2	6-10	225	2.5%
3	11-16	211	2.4%
4	17-29	213	2.4%
5	30+	210	2.4%
.	Logical skip	5,995	67.5%
	<i>Total</i>	<b>8,876</b>	<b>100%</b>

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 5

Variable type: Numeric

## T\_CLI10: Residential clients, long-term detoxification - for OTP facilities (Q.30a)

Value	Label	Frequency	%
1	0-8	69	5.1%
2	9-16	8	0.6%
3	17-40	15	1.1%
4	41-70	5	0.4%
5	71+	14	1.0%
.	Logical skip	1,238	91.8%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>1,349</i>	<i>100%</i>

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 5

Variable type: Numeric

**T\_CLI10: Residential clients, long-term detoxification - for DUI\_DWI facilities  
(Q.30a)**

Value	Label	Frequency	%
1	0-7	114	3.1%
2	8-13	46	1.3%
3	14-22	46	1.3%
4	23-42	39	1.1%
5	43+	39	1.1%
.	Logical skip	3,364	92.2%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>3,648</i>	<i>100%</i>

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 5

Variable type: Numeric

**T\_CLI10: Residential clients, long-term detoxification - for non-OTP and non-DUI\_DWI facilities (Q.30a)**

Value	Label	Frequency	%
1	0-8	1,194	13.5%
2	9-14	480	5.4%
3	15-20	449	5.1%
4	21-35	412	4.6%
5	36+	405	4.6%
.	Logical skip	5,936	66.9%
	<i>Total</i>	<b>8,876</b>	<b>100%</b>

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 5

Variable type: Numeric

**T\_CLIRC: Total number of residential clients - for OTP facilities (Q.30a)**

Value	Label	Frequency	%
1	0-16	40	3.0%
2	17-25	21	1.6%
3	26-40	18	1.3%
4	41-80	25	1.9%
5	81+	22	1.6%
.	Logical skip	1,223	90.7%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>1,349</i>	<i>100%</i>

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 5

Variable type: Numeric

### T\_CLIRC: Total number of residential clients - for DUI\_DWI facilities (Q.30a)

Value	Label	Frequency	%
1	0-11	342	9.4%
2	12-18	68	1.9%
3	19-29	50	1.4%
4	30-51	57	1.6%
5	52+	59	1.6%
.	Logical skip	3,072	84.2%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>3,648</i>	<i>100%</i>

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 5

Variable type: Numeric

**T\_CLIRC: Total number of residential clients - for non-OTP and non-DUI\_DWI facilities (Q.30a)**

Value	Label	Frequency	%
1	0-10	1,262	14.2%
2	11-16	639	7.2%
3	17-24	520	5.9%
4	25-40	511	5.8%
5	41+	557	6.3%
.	Logical skip	5,387	60.7%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>8,876</i>	<i>100%</i>

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 5

Variable type: Numeric

## R\_AGE1: Residential clients under 18 years old (Q.30b)

Value	Label	Frequency	%
1	0-5	2,951	21.3%
2	6-10	95	0.7%
3	11-16	103	0.7%
4	17-25	59	0.4%
5	26+	76	0.5%
.	Logical skip	10,589	76.3%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>13,873</i>	<i>100%</i>

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 5

Variable type: Numeric

## RCMETNUM: Total methadone residential - for OTP facilities (Q.30c)

Value	Label	Frequency	%
1	0-3	66	4.9%
2	4-6	8	0.6%
3	7-13	15	1.1%
4	14-25	10	0.7%
5	26+	10	0.7%
.	Logical skip	1,240	91.9%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>1,349</i>	<i>100%</i>

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 5

Variable type: Numeric

## RCBUPNUM: Total buprenorphine residential (Q.30c)

Value	Label	Frequency	%
1	0-2	2,908	21.0%
2	3	67	0.5%
3	4	46	0.3%
4	5-8	132	1.0%
5	9+	130	0.9%
.	Logical skip	10,590	76.3%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>13,873</i>	<i>100%</i>

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 5

Variable type: Numeric

## RCVIVNUM: Total Vivitrol® residential (Q.30c)

Value	Label	Frequency	%
1	0-1	3,109	22.4%
2	2	53	0.4%
3	3	29	0.2%
4	4-6	51	0.4%
5	7+	41	0.3%
.	Logical skip	10,590	76.3%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>13,873</i>	<i>100%</i>

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 5

Variable type: Numeric

## RCMATNUM: Residential - total MAT - clients - March 31, 2015 (Q.30c)

Value	Label	Frequency	%
1	0-2	2,729	19.7%
2	3-5	214	1.5%
3	6-10	168	1.2%
4	11-21	101	0.7%
5	22+	71	0.5%
.	Logical skip	10,590	76.3%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>13,873</i>	<i>100%</i>

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 5

Variable type: Numeric

## RESBED: Total beds designated-residential clients (Q.30d)

Value	Label	Frequency	%
1	0-12	791	5.7%
2	13-18	625	4.5%
3	19-28	619	4.5%
4	29-47	558	4.0%
5	48+	614	4.4%
.	Logical skip	10,589	76.3%
.M	Missing	77	0.6%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>13,873</i>	<i>100%</i>

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 5

Variable type: Numeric

## T\_CLI7: Outpatient clients, detoxification - for OTP facilities (Q.31a)

Value	Label	Frequency	%
1	0-3	1,042	77.2%
2	4-5	46	3.4%
3	6-10	34	2.5%
4	11-24	41	3.0%
5	25+	50	3.7%
.	Logical skip	136	10.1%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>1,349</i>	<i>100%</i>

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 5

Variable type: Numeric

### T\_CLI7: Outpatient clients, detoxification - for DUI\_DWI facilities (Q.31a)

Value	Label	Frequency	%
1	0-2	3,321	91.0%
2	3-5	23	0.6%
3	6-10	18	0.5%
4	11-20	23	0.6%
5	21+	26	0.7%
.	Logical skip	237	6.5%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>3,648</i>	<i>100%</i>

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 5

Variable type: Numeric

**T\_CLI7: Outpatient clients, detoxification - for non-OTP and non-DUI\_DWI facilities (Q.31a)**

Value	Label	Frequency	%
1	0-2	6,023	67.9%
2	3-5	83	0.9%
3	6-10	41	0.5%
4	11-25	59	0.7%
5	26+	56	0.6%
.	Logical skip	2,613	29.4%
.M	Missing	1	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<b>8,876</b>	<b>100%</b>

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 5

Variable type: Numeric

## T\_CLIML: Outpatient clients, methadone maintenance - for OTP facilities (Q.31a)

Value	Label	Frequency	%
1	0-112	313	23.2%
2	113-192	198	14.7%
3	193-291	211	15.6%
4	292-432	224	16.6%
5	433+	272	20.2%
.	Logical skip	131	9.7%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>1,349</i>	<i>100%</i>

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 5

Variable type: Numeric

**T\_CLIML: Outpatient clients, methadone maintenance - for DUI\_DWI facilities (Q.31a)**

Value	Label	Frequency	%
1	0-3	3,171	86.9%
2	4-8	54	1.5%
3	9-20	67	1.8%
4	21-37	59	1.6%
5	38+	72	2.0%
.	Logical skip	225	6.2%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>3,648</i>	<i>100%</i>

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 5

Variable type: Numeric

**T\_CLIML: Outpatient clients, methadone maintenance - for non-OTP and non-DUI\_DWI facilities (Q.31a)**

Value	Label	Frequency	%
1	0-4	5,692	64.1%
2	5-10	152	1.7%
3	11-20	121	1.4%
4	21-50	158	1.8%
5	51+	170	1.9%
.	Logical skip	2,582	29.1%
.M	Missing	1	0.0%
<i>Total</i>		<b>8,876</b>	<b>100%</b>

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 5

Variable type: Numeric

**T\_CLI8: Outpatient clients, day treatment/partial hospitalization - for OTP facilities (Q.31a)**

Value	Label	Frequency	%
1	0-7	1,179	87.4%
2	8-12	11	0.8%
3	13-20	7	0.5%
4	21-35	7	0.5%
5	36+	8	0.6%
.	Logical skip	137	10.2%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>1,349</i>	<i>100%</i>

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 5

Variable type: Numeric

**T\_CLI8: Outpatient clients, day treatment/partial hospitalization - for DUI\_DWI facilities (Q.31a)**

Value	Label	Frequency	%
1	0-4	3,231	88.6%
2	5-8	34	0.9%
3	9-15	51	1.4%
4	16-30	48	1.3%
5	31+	46	1.3%
.	Logical skip	238	6.5%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>3,648</i>	<i>100%</i>

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 5

Variable type: Numeric

**T\_CLI8: Outpatient clients, day treatment/partial hospitalization - for non-OTP and non-DUI\_DWI facilities (Q.31a)**

Value	Label	Frequency	%
1	0-4	5,649	63.6%
2	5-9	172	1.9%
3	10-15	162	1.8%
4	16-30	157	1.8%
5	31+	139	1.6%
.	Logical skip	2,596	29.2%
.M	Missing	1	0.0%
<i>Total</i>		<b>8,876</b>	<b>100%</b>

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 5

Variable type: Numeric

## T\_CLI6: Outpatient clients, intensive - for OTP facilities (Q.31a)

Value	Label	Frequency	%
1	0-10	1,056	78.3%
2	11-18	37	2.7%
3	19-30	43	3.2%
4	31-55	39	2.9%
5	56+	38	2.8%
.	Logical skip	136	10.1%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>1,349</i>	<i>100%</i>

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 5

Variable type: Numeric

## T\_CLI6: Outpatient clients, intensive - for DUI\_DWI facilities (Q.31a)

Value	Label	Frequency	%
1	0-6	2,178	59.7%
2	7-12	339	9.3%
3	13-21	347	9.5%
4	22-40	330	9.0%
5	41+	291	8.0%
.	Logical skip	163	4.5%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>3,648</i>	<i>100%</i>

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 5

Variable type: Numeric

**T\_CLI6: Outpatient clients, intensive - for non-OTP and non-DUI\_DWI facilities (Q.31a)**

Value	Label	Frequency	%
1	0-7	4,220	47.5%
2	8-12	507	5.7%
3	13-21	575	6.5%
4	22-40	598	6.7%
5	41+	468	5.3%
.	Logical skip	2,508	28.3%
	<i>Total</i>	<b>8,876</b>	<b>100%</b>

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 5

Variable type: Numeric

## T\_CLI5: Outpatient clients, regular outpatient care - for OTP facilities (Q.31a)

Value	Label	Frequency	%
1	0-10	964	71.5%
2	11-30	51	3.8%
3	31-76	59	4.4%
4	77-191	75	5.6%
5	192+	68	5.0%
.	Logical skip	132	9.8%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>1,349</i>	<i>100%</i>

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 5

Variable type: Numeric

## T\_CLI5: Outpatient clients, regular outpatient care - for DUI\_DWI facilities (Q.31a)

Value	Label	Frequency	%
1	0-15	982	26.9%
2	16-30	698	19.1%
3	31-57	654	17.9%
4	58-110	601	16.5%
5	111+	604	16.6%
.	Logical skip	109	3.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<b>3,648</b>	<b>100%</b>

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 5

Variable type: Numeric

**T\_CLI5: Outpatient clients, regular outpatient care - for non-OTP and non-DUI\_DWI facilities (Q.31a)**

Value	Label	Frequency	%
1	0-10	2,408	27.1%
2	11-21	968	10.9%
3	22-42	1,114	12.6%
4	43-87	1,019	11.5%
5	88+	1,001	11.3%
.	Logical skip	2,366	26.7%
	<i>Total</i>	<b>8,876</b>	<b>100%</b>

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 5

Variable type: Numeric

## T\_CLIOP: Total number of outpatient clients - for OTP facilities (Q.31a)

Value	Label	Frequency	%
1	0-123	242	17.9%
2	124-209	217	16.1%
3	210-310	236	17.5%
4	311-455	226	16.8%
5	456+	297	22.0%
.	Logical skip	131	9.7%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>1,349</i>	<i>100%</i>

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 5

Variable type: Numeric

### T\_CLIOP: Total number of outpatient clients - for DUI\_DWI facilities (Q.31a)

Value	Label	Frequency	%
1	0-20	879	24.1%
2	21-40	755	20.7%
3	41-71	654	17.9%
4	72-135	599	16.4%
5	136+	653	17.9%
.	Logical skip	108	3.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<b>3,648</b>	<b>100%</b>

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 5

Variable type: Numeric

**T\_CLIOP: Total number of outpatient clients - for non-OTP and non-DUI\_DWI facilities (Q.31a)**

Value	Label	Frequency	%
1	0-13	1,659	18.7%
2	14-28	1,280	14.4%
3	29-52	1,218	13.7%
4	53-103	1,155	13.0%
5	104+	1,210	13.6%
.	Logical skip	2,354	26.5%
	<i>Total</i>	<b>8,876</b>	<b>100%</b>

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 5

Variable type: Numeric

## O\_AGE1: Outpatient clients under 18 years old (Q.31b)

Value	Label	Frequency	%
1	0-3	8,037	57.9%
2	4-6	707	5.1%
3	7-12	661	4.8%
4	13-25	657	4.7%
5	26+	572	4.1%
.	Logical skip	3,238	23.3%
.M	Missing	1	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>13,873</i>	<i>100%</i>

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 5

Variable type: Numeric

## OPMETNUM: Total methadone outpatients - for OTP facilities (Q.31c)

Value	Label	Frequency	%
1	0-114	299	22.2%
2	115-196	208	15.4%
3	197-293	209	15.5%
4	294-435	219	16.2%
5	436+	272	20.2%
.	Logical skip	142	10.5%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>1,349</i>	<i>100%</i>

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 5

Variable type: Numeric

## OPBUPNUM: Total buprenorphine outpatients (Q.31c)

Value	Label	Frequency	%
1	0-3	9,311	67.1%
2	4-8	247	1.8%
3	9-18	279	2.0%
4	19-40	341	2.5%
5	41+	457	3.3%
.	Logical skip	3,238	23.3%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>13,873</i>	<i>100%</i>

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 5

Variable type: Numeric

## OPVIVNUM: Total Vivitrol® outpatients (Q.31c)

Value	Label	Frequency	%
1	0-1	10,106	72.8%
2	2-3	180	1.3%
3	4-5	133	1.0%
4	6-10	92	0.7%
5	11+	124	0.9%
.	Logical skip	3,238	23.3%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>13,873</i>	<i>100%</i>

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 5

Variable type: Numeric

## OPMATNUM: Outpatient - total MAT - clients - March 31, 2015 (Q.31c)

Value	Label	Frequency	%
1	0-100	9,559	68.9%
2	101-175	231	1.7%
3	176-260	247	1.8%
4	261-397	243	1.8%
5	398+	355	2.6%
.	Logical skip	3,238	23.3%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>13,873</i>	<i>100%</i>

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 5

Variable type: Numeric

## B\_PCT: Percent of clients treated for both alcohol and drug abuse (Q.32)

Value	Label	Frequency	%
1	0-28	3,623	26.1%
2	29-50	3,723	26.8%
3	51-60	1,174	8.5%
4	61-80	2,191	15.8%
5	81+	2,097	15.1%
.	Logical skip	832	6.0%
.D	Don't know	4	0.0%
.M	Missing	8	0.1%
.N	Not applicable	220	1.6%
.R	Refused	1	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>13,873</i>	<i>100%</i>

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 5

Variable type: Numeric

## A\_PCT: Percent of clients treated for only alcohol abuse (Q.32)

Value	Label	Frequency	%
1	0-10	5,561	40.1%
2	11-20	2,376	17.1%
3	21-25	1,512	10.9%
4	26-40	1,636	11.8%
5	41+	1,723	12.4%
.	Logical skip	832	6.0%
.D	Don't know	4	0.0%
.M	Missing	8	0.1%
.N	Not applicable	220	1.6%
.R	Refused	1	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>13,873</i>	<i>100%</i>

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 5

Variable type: Numeric

## D\_PCT: Percent of clients treated for only drug abuse (Q.32)

Value	Label	Frequency	%
1	0-10	4,409	31.8%
2	11-20	2,051	14.8%
3	21-29	1,459	10.5%
4	30-50	2,458	17.7%
5	51+	2,431	17.5%
.	Logical skip	832	6.0%
.D	Don't know	4	0.0%
.M	Missing	8	0.1%
.N	Not applicable	220	1.6%
.R	Refused	1	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>13,873</i>	<i>100%</i>

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 5

Variable type: Numeric

## LOC5: Setting, halfway house (Q.35)

Value	Label	Frequency	%
0	No	12,604	90.9%
1	Yes	1,269	9.1%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>13,873</i>	<i>100%</i>

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 1

Variable type: Numeric

## LICEN: Licensed/certified/accredited by hospital or state authority (Q.37)

Value	Label	Frequency	%
0	Not licensed, certified, or accredited by any of these organizations	996	7.2%
1	Licensed, certified, or accredited by any of these organizations	12,339	88.9%
.M	Missing	538	3.9%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>13,873</i>	<i>100%</i>

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 1

Variable type: Numeric

## ACCRED: Licensed/certified/accredited by JCAHO/CARF/NCQA/COA/HFAP (Q.37)

Value	Label	Frequency	%
0	Not licensed, certified, or accredited by any of these organizations	3,769	27.2%
1	Licensed, certified, or accredited by any of these organizations	6,551	47.2%
.M	Missing	3,553	25.6%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>13,873</i>	<i>100%</i>

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 1

Variable type: Numeric

## SRVC75: Ancillary residential beds for children (Q.11)

Value	Label	Frequency	%
0	No	13,373	96.4%
1	Yes	499	3.6%
.M	Missing	1	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>13,873</i>	<i>100%</i>

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 1

Variable type: Numeric